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ABSTRACT: Shell habitus and COI mitochondrial gene sequences of one freshwater snail from Khios and three from Rhodes islands were analysed. Both methods confirmed assignment of these specimens to the genus *Daphniola* Radoman, 1973. Genetic distance between individuals from these two islands is surprisingly low, strongly suggesting that they belong to the same species, still undescribed. Comparison of COI sequences with other known species of this genus shows that the closest relative of the Khios and Rhodes populations is *D. louisi* Falniowski et Szarowska, 2000 from Attica. The results are discussed in the context of geological and climatic history of the Mediterranean.

**INTRODUCTION**

A number of freshwater valvatiform hydrobiid gastropods that occur in European habitats were recently reviewed by Bodon et al. (2001). Radoman (1973) described a new genus *Daphniola*, with its new type species *D. graeca* Radoman, 1973, from Daphne Spring in Témbi Valley, northern Greece (Fig. 1). In his review of the Greek Hydrobiidae, Schütt (1980) assigned the valvatiform hydrobiids, which had been reported from that territory, to *Horatia* Bourguignat, 1887. He not only expanded the range of this genus far to the south without any justification (Radoman 1983), but also he included the species of *Daphniola* in *Horatia* unreasonably. Our molecular data did not confirm any closer relationship between *Horatia* and *Daphniola* (Szarowska & Falniowski 2014).

There are three species assigned to *Daphniola* currently. Schütt (1980) synonymised *Daphniola graeca* with *D. exigua* (A. Schmidt, 1856). Gittenberger (1982) described a new species of *Horatia* (*Horatia hadei* Gittenberger, 1982), found 5 km SW of Ythion (Gythion), Peloponnese (Fig. 1), however, molecular and morphological data placed this species in the genus *Daphniola* (Falniowski & Szarowska 2011a). Falniowski & Szarowska (2000) described a new species of *Daphniola* (*D. louisi* Falniowski et Szarowska, 2000) from Kessariani Monastery, Athens (Fig. 1). Molecular data confirmed that *D. exigua* and *D. graeca* were the same species, different from *D. louisi* (Falniowski et al. 2007). Another species was described from the Parnassos Mountain within this genus (Radea 2011). However, this species – *Daphniola eptalophos* Radea, 2011 – does not represent *Daphniola* (which is clearly visible in the figures and description of Radea 2011). It is Graecoarganiella parnassiana Falniowski et Szarowska 2011 occurring at this locality (Falniowski & Szarowska 2011b). *Daphniola* was considered endemic for continental Greece (Radea et al. 2013) up to now.

In this work we describe *Daphniola* individuals from two East Aegean islands. In June 2012 we found a single specimen of *Daphniola* at Khios Island, and three specimens at Rhodes Island. The material was too scarce for any morphological study, but we obtained cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) mtDNA partial sequences to confirm the genus-level assignment of those specimens, and to infer their phylogenetic relationships with the other, previously described continental representatives of *Daphniola*.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

The snails were collected from two islands, situated in the eastern Aegean Sea (Fig. 1):

- Khios Island, a small spring (Fig. 2) near the road, 4 km E of Leptópodha, 38°34’23.6”N, 25°59’12.3”E, 390 m a.s.l., together with *Pseudamnicola* and *Bythinella*, only a single specimen of *Daphniola* was found;
- Rhodes Island, a spring (Fig. 3) 5 km E of Sálakos, 36°17’0.4.1”N, 28°00’30.6”E, 210 m a.s.l.; together with *Pseudamnicola*, only three juvenile specimens of *Daphniola* were found.

Snails were washed twice in 80% ethanol and left to stand in it for around 12 hours. The 80% ethanol was then changed twice more within 24 hours and finally, after a few days, replaced with 96% ethanol, in which the samples were then stored at –20°C.

The shells were photographed with a CANON EOS 50D digital camera mounted on a NIKON SMZ-18 microscope.

DNA was extracted from foot tissue. The tissue was hydrated in TE buffer (3 × 10 min); then total genomic DNA was extracted with the SHERLOCK extracting kit (A&A Biotechnology), and the final product was dissolved in 20 μl TE pH 8.0 buffer. The PCR reaction was performed with the following primers: LCO1490 (5’-GGTCAACAATCATAAGATATTGG-3’) (Folmer et al. 1994) and COR722b (5’-TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATYA-3’) (Wilke & Davis 2000) to cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) mitochondrial gene.

The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation step of 4 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, 2 min at 72°C, and a final extension of 4 min at 72°C. The total volume of each PCR reaction mixture was 50 μl. To check the quality of the PCR products, 10 μl of the PCR product were run on a 1% agarose gel. The PCR products were purified using Clean-Up columns.

[Fig. 1. Localities of populations of *Daphniola* used for molecular phylogeny: E=G – *D. exigua*, Aghia Paraskevi, Tembi Valley and *D. graeca*, Daphne Spring, Tembi Valley; H – *D. hader*, S. Peloponnesse, L – *D. louisi*, Kessariani, Attica, K – Khios Island, R – Rhodes Island. Figure made using Cartografx Professional Software]
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Fig. 2. Khios Island, a small spring 4 km E of Leptópodha

Fig. 3. Rhodes Island, a spring 5 km E of Sálakos
(A&A Biotechnology) and were then amplified in both directions (Hillis et al. 1996) with the primers described above, using BigDye Terminator v3.1 (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The sequencing reaction products were purified using ExTerminator Columns (A&A Biotechnology); DNA sequences then underwent electrophoresis on an ABI Prism sequencer.

The sequences KM887914 and KM887915 were deposited in GenBank for Daphniola from Khios Island and Rhodes Island, respectively. They were aligned manually in Bioedit 7.1.3.0 (Hall 1999), together with the sequences from GenBank, representing the other three species of Daphniola: D. louisi (EF070618; Falniowski & Szarowska 2000), D. hadei (JF164743; Falniowski & Szarowska 2011a), D. exigua and D. graeca (EU047767, EU047763; Falniowski et al. 2007), and Grossuana codreanui (Grossu, 1946) (EF061919; Szarowska et al. 2007) as outgroup.

MEGA version 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013) was used to calculate pairwise p-distances between the studied sequences, as well as to find the substitution model (Posada 2003) and infer maximum likelihood (ML) tree, with 10,000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985). To test the molecular clock two hydrobiids, Peringia ulvae (Pennant, 1777) and Salenthydrobia ferreri Wilke, 2003 (AF118288, AF449214, respectively; Wilke 2003) were used as outgroups. The data of Wilke (2003), with correction of Falniowski et al. (2008) were used to calibrate the clock. The likelihood for trees with and without the molecular clock assumption for a Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) (Nei & Kumar 2000, Posada 2003) were calculated with PAUP. The Relative Rate Test (RRT) (Tajima 1993) was performed in MEGA. The ultrametric tree was computed with MEGA.

RESULTS

The shells of the single specimen from Khios (Fig. 4), as well as of the specimens from Rhodes (Figs 5–6) were typical of Daphniola.

The pairwise p-distances (Table 1) confirmed the assignment of the specimens from both Khios and Rhodes islands to Daphniola. The distance between the populations from the two islands was 0.005, and the distances between those populations and the other Daphniola species were similar to those between the latter species. For ML analysis, the Hasegawa, Kishino & Yano (HKY) model (Nei & Kumar 2000) with I’ distribution was found. The ML tree (Fig. 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>#KM887914 (9B7X Khios)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>#KM887915 (9B9X Rhodes)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>#EF916473 Daphniola hadei</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>#EF070618 Daphniola louisi</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>#EU047767 Daphniola exigua</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>#EU047763 Daphniola graeca</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>#EF061919 Grossuana codreanui</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figs 4–6. Shells of Daphniola from Aegean Islands: 4 – Khios Island, 5–6 – Rhodes Island. Bar represents 0.5 mm
showed D. louisi from Attica as the sister species of the one represented by the two island populations, with bootstrap support of 99%.

Tajima’s RRTs for each pair of Daphniola haplotypes with either Salenthydrobia or Peringia as outgroup did not reject the molecular clock hypothesis. The LRT also did not reject the molecular clock hypothesis ($\Delta = 8.256, df = 6, p > 0.2193$). The two Daphniola populations from the islands and D. louisi split 2.81±0.86 Mya. Divergence times for other reference species are given in Fig. 8. The split between the two main Daphniola clades occurred about 5.99±1.18 Mya. Two other splitting events are dated for 4.87±0.85 (Khios/Rhodes/D. lousi clade) and 1.01±0.51 Mya (D. graeca and D. exigua).

**DISCUSSION**

Both p-distance and the inferred ML tree indicate that the populations of Daphniola from Khios and Rhodes islands belong to the same species, despite the long distance and salt water isolation between those islands. Interestingly, the distance is more than three times smaller than between D. exigua and D. graeca living in the same valley and considered by falniowski et al. (2007) as a single species. Perhaps either founder effect or strong selection may be an explanation. On the other hand, those populations represent a distinct species of Daphniola, at least as distinct molecularly as the three usually recognised species. Similarly high distances were found between many truncatelloidean species (Szarowska et al. 2007, Falniowski et al. 2009, Falniowski & Szarowska 2011a). The sister group relationship of the island Daphniola with D. louisi from Attica is noteworthy as well.

The geographical history of Daphniola seems complex. According to our results, the splitting between the two main Daphniola clades occurred about 5.99 Mya, but with confidence intervals it is comparable with earlier estimates (Falniowski et al. 2007) and coincides with the Messinian Salinity Crisis, during which at the place of the Recent Mediterranean there was a desert with vast canyons of big rivers and with some water bodies, characterised by too low or too high salinity. Splitting time intervals occurring between the Khios/Rhodes/D. lousi clade and D. hadei from the Peloponnese could also indicate isolation of the Peloponnesian populations of Daphniola at the end of the Messinian Crisis. The rapid changes in hydrographic conditions caused by the Zanclean flooding, restored the sea in the Mediterranean and thus formed barriers for freshwater fauna and changed climatic conditions. The geological and climatic events
of this time may have promoted the diversification of *Daphniola* evolutionary lineages.

The next event involved splitting of *D. exigua* and *D. graeca*, at present found in the same valley in Thesally. This is probably a relic of recent differentiation of the Balkans' *Daphniola*, but more sampling is necessary for phylogeographic inferences. On the other hand, genetic distances between these two clades are of the same magnitude as those within these two populations, thus *D. exigua* and *D. graeca* are conspecific (FALNIOWSKI et al. 2007).

The low genetic divergence between *D. louisii* from Attica and the two island populations is surprising. The split between these two clades occurred about 2.8 Mya, long after the Messinian Crisis, so other events may have played a role in the divergence of these groups. It has to be noted that the present geography of the Aegean is no more than 8-6 Kya old (KOGIOUMOUTZIS et al. 2014: references therein). Since the peak of Wisconsin-Würm glaciation (24-10 Kya), global sea level has risen by 120–130 m, and the Aegean islands replaced dry land; in the late Pleistocene the eastern Aegean islands were connected with Anatolia, and the strait between Europe and the Cyclade-mega-island was rather narrow (KOGIOUMOUTZIS et al. 2014).

High similarity between the Khios and Rhodes populations is unexpected, since the distance between these islands is longer than 250 km. The most likely explanation may involve recent dispersal of *Daphniola* into one of these islands. Recent over-sea dispersal may be possible by stepping stones through the neighboring islands, or through the Anatolia peninsula. There are also other possible ways of colonisation, including introduction by man or by birds, which has been previously reported for other snails (e.g. GITENBERGER et al. 2006). There are current data indicating that small snail species may survive the passage through the digestive tract of birds (WADA et al. 2012), which makes this way of long distance colonisation a probable hypothesis.
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