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ABSTRACT: The Danube species Viviparus acerosus has been recorded for the first time from the Transcarpathian region of Ukraine. The material was collected in autumn 2018 on the bank of the Roman-Potik reservoir in the environs of Dunkovitsa village, Irshava district. The conchological peculiarities of the adult and embryonic specimens have been described and illustrated, and the shell sizes of the adults are given. It is possible that V. acerosus may occur in other localities of western and south-western parts of Ukraine, but has been mistaken for large specimens of the widespread species Viviparus viviparus. From the Lower Danube in the southwest of the Odessa region, V. acerosus was recorded for the first time as far back as the beginning of the 20th century. In the middle of the 20th century it might be mentioned from this territory as V. viviparus var. hungarica. The necessity for more thorough study of the species composition and distribution of representatives of the genus Viviparus in the Ukrainian part of the Danube basin is argued.
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INTRODUCTION

Although the presence of the Danube species Viviparus acerosus (Bourguignat, 1862) in some areas of western and south-western Ukraine looks quite natural, the precise data on its findings in the Ukrainian part of the Danube basin are few. The literature references (LINDHOLM 1906, ZHADIN 1952, DZHURTUBAEV et al. 2017), which apparently concern the true V. acerosus, relate exclusively to the lower reaches of the Danube River in the south-west of the Odessa region. The analysis of the present distribution of V. acerosus in Ukraine is considerably complicated due to the significant differences in the interpretation of this species and some other European representatives of the genus Viviparus Montfort, 1810 by East European (CHERNOGORENKO 1988, ANISTRATENKO & ANISTRATENKO 2001, STAROBOGATOV et al. 2004) and Central European (GŁÖER 2002, GŁÖER & GEORGIEV 2014) researchers.

Thus, in the Eastern European malacological literature V. acerosus has recently been mentioned from Ukraine exclusively as a junior synonym to Viviparus ater (Christofori et Jan, 1832) (ANISTRATENKO & ANISTRATENKO 2001, KANTOR & SYSOEV 2005, VINARSKI & KANTOR 2016). However, the Central European malacologists recognise the validity of both species (FALNIOWSKI et al. 1996a, b, 1998, GŁÖER 2002, GŁÖER & GEORGIEV 2014), which was further confirmed by recent molecular study (RYSIEWSKA et al. 2019). The occurrence in Ukraine of the Southern Alpine species V. ater (GŁÖER 2002) also requires additional confirmation. It is quite possible that large specimens of Viviparus viviparus (Linnaeus, 1758) from Ukraine with a relatively narrow apical angle (ANISTRATENKO & ANISTRATENKO 2001) have been taken for V. ater by mistake.
Moreover, some researchers (Andriychuk 2015, Gural & Gural-Sverlova 2018) considered until recently that only two representatives of the family Viviparidae with generally accepted species status have been reliably recorded from Ukraine: Viviparus contectus (Millet, 1813) and V. viviparus. Other researches mentioned the occurrence of 5 species (Ryabceva 2013) and even 8 species (Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001), five of which being in fact forms of V. contectus. Two other taxa, V. ater and Viviparus sphaeridius (Bourguignat, 1880), the species status of which is now recognised by the Central European researchers (Glöer & Georgiev 2014) as well, are interpreted by the Ukrainian malacologists differently and in most cases may proved to be just the conchological forms of V. viviparus, what is described in more detail in Discussion.

In autumn 2018 several large shells of Viviparus from the Transcarpathian region of Ukraine were passed to the malacological collection of the State Museum of Natural History of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (SMNH NASU) in Lviv, which we have preliminarily identified as V. acerosus. Repeated sampling in the same locality made it possible to extract from the bodies of females, recently died on the bank, above hundred embryonic shells, the study of which, following Glöer & Georgiev (2014), has further confirmed the correctness of the preliminary identification.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The paper is based on the study of adult and juvenile (embryonic) specimens of V. acerosus, collected by Vasyl Gleba on the bank of the Roman-Potik reservoir (Fig. 1) in the vicinity of Dunkovitsa village, Irshava district, Transcarpathian region, near the highway Mukachevo–Khus. The coordinates of the collection point: 48°19’05.4”N, 22°53’22.2”E.

The reservoir, located between Dunkovitsa and Gorbok villages, was formed by construction of a dam erected on a small river Roman (another name Roman-Potik). The reservoir is used now for fish breeding and recreation. A study has shown a satisfactory chemical composition of water and at the same time its strong bacteriological contamination (Roman 2017).

The first sample containing four empty shells of adult specimens of V. acerosus was taken on the bank of the reservoir in September 22, 2018, together with the shells of large bivalve molluscs Anodonta anatina (Linnaeus, 1758) and Unio tumidus Philipsson, 1788. The next sampling, containing several dozens of empty shells and snails that had recently died and also one living male (Fig. 2), was made in October 27, 2018. Embryonic specimens in different stages of development were extracted from bodies of eight dead females.

The height and width of the shells of adults were measured with a calliper with an accuracy of 0.1 mm. Other measurements were taken under a stereo microscope, using an ocular micrometer. The shells of adult individuals were measured according to the scheme shown in Falniowski et al. (1998: fig. 26). Whorl number in embryonic shells was counted according to the scheme in Glöer (2002: fig. 16). The structure of embryonic shells and the surface sculpture on the shells of adults were observed under the binocular MBS-2. The material was identified by means of the keys, descriptions and pictures of adult and embryonic shells of Viviparus species distributed in Europe (Glöer 2002, Glöer & Georgiev 2014).

For comparison, the material of the malacological collection of SMNH NASU was used (Figs 5–8), containing about 700 shells of Viviparus from the different regions of Ukraine: the basins of the Dnieper, Dniester, Southern and Western Bug, Seversky Donets (Gural & Gural-Sverlova 2018). A special attention was paid to the large specimens of V. viviparus from the Kakhovka reservoir in the Dnieper, two of which are shown on Figs 6 & 7. An embryonic shell from the same sample, collected in 2006 in the environs of Vasylevka town, Zaporozhye region (inventory number G936), is shown in Fig. 17. Both samples of V. acerosus from the Transcarpathian region, described in this paper, have been passed to the collection of freshwater molluscs of the same museum (inventory numbers G1564 and G1566).

RESULTS

The shells of V. acerosus (Figs 3, 4), collected in the Transcarpathian region, are greenish-horny, with three clearly visible spiral brownish bands. Whorls are relatively convex, but not stepped, as in V. contectus (Fig. 5). The umbilicus is covered to a considerable extent by the columellar margin of the aperture (Figs 12, 13), thus acquiring a more or less slit-like shape. Sometimes this slit is so small (Fig. 13) that it can be clearly visible only if the aperture is turned slightly to the side.

In contrast to the conchologically similar species V. viviparus, the upper embryonic whorls in the shells
Figs 1, 2. Habitat of *Viviparus acerosus* in the Transcarpathian region (1) and alive male (2)

Figs 3–8. Shells of the adult specimens of *Viviparus* from Ukraine: 3, 4 – *V. acerosus* (4 – shell of the female), Dunkovitsa, Transcarpathian region; 5 – *V. contectus*, Svityaz, Volyn region; 6, 7 – *V. viviparus*, Vasilevka, Zaporozhye region; 8 – *V. viviparus*, Cherkassy, Cherkassy region. Scale bars 10 mm
studied form an acute apex distinctly protruding upwards, which is typical of *V. acerosus* (GLÖER 2002). Since the apex in adults is corroded (Fig. 9), this character is much better expressed in the shells of embryonic specimens (Figs 15, 16).

The surface sculpture on the definitive whorls shows these features:
1. thin, intermittent, densely located radial wrinkles, well visible already at 10–20× magnification, which may be intersected with thinner, irregularly located wavy spiral wrinkles;
2. more coarse radial wrinkles;
3. chaotically located dents (‘hammering’ effect, malleate sculpture).

The sculptural elements of the second and third types, as a rule, one can see clearly even with the naked eye. The surface sculpture on the shells of *V. viviparus* from the Kakhovka reservoir in the Dnieper (Figs 6, 7), used for comparison, was in general similar to *V. acerosus* from the Transcarpathian region, but less expressed. In particular, the elements of the malleate sculpture were visible only on certain parts of the definitive whorls. It is possible, that such differences, at least in part, might be conditioned by somewhat greater thickness of the shell walls in *V. viviparus*.

The embryonic shells (Figs 15, 16) are light horny, with three darker (brownish) spiral bands on the last whorl. Their periphery is slightly angular, and this angularity being more clearly expressed in smaller shells belonging to younger individuals (Fig. 16). The umbilicus is partially covered by the columellar margin of the aperture.

The surface of embryonic shells has thin, densely arranged spiral wrinkles (Fig. 18), straight or slightly wavy, already visible at 10× and clearly visible at 20× magnification. On the lower whorls in the largest specimens, the elements of the radial sculpture become visible, although less distinct then on the definitive whorls.

Some spiral wrinkles are covered with densely arranged hairs, and the length of the hairs being equal in one row and may differ significantly between rows. The longest hairs (Fig. 19) are arranged on the periphery of the whorls. The hairs of similar length are visible on the periphery of the shell of juvenile *V. acerosus* from Hungary, shown in GLÖER & GEORGIEV.
Viviparus acerosus in Ukraine

Figs 15–19. Shells of the embryonic specimens of Viviparus: 15, 16, 18, 19 – *V. acerosus*, Dunkovitsa, Transcarpathian region; 17 – *V. viviparus*, Vasilevka, Zaporozhye region; 18 – shell apex and spiral sculpture of the surface; 19 – spiral wrinkles and hairs on the periphery of the last whorl. Scale bars 5 mm on Figs 15–17, 0.5 mm on Figs 18, 19

Table 1. Shell sizes of the adult specimens of *V. acerosus* from the Transcarpathian region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters, mm</th>
<th>Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Empty shells* (N=22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M±m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell height</td>
<td>44.0±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell width</td>
<td>29.7±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spire height</td>
<td>10.7±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture height</td>
<td>18.4±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture width</td>
<td>16.8±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepenultimate whorl height</td>
<td>2.7±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepenultimate whorl width</td>
<td>9.4±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penultimate whorl height</td>
<td>6.4±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penultimate whorl width</td>
<td>17.0±0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: M – arithmetic average; m – arithmetic average error; max – maximum value of the parameter; min – minimum value, N – number of the shells measured, * – sex of the molluscs is unknown.
(2014: fig. 5E), and on the embryonic shell of this species from Slovakia (FALNIOWSKI et al. 1996b: figs 2, 17).

The sizes of collected adult shells of *V. acerosus* are given in Table 1. The maximum height of the shell reached 50.5 mm, the maximum width – 33.9 mm in one of the females. In the three largest embryonic shells kept in SMNH NASU with the whorls numbering from 3.2 to 3.6 shell height varies from 6.0 to 7.6 mm, shell width from 6.3 to 7.5 mm, aperture height from 4.3 to 4.9 mm, aperture width from 3.7 to 4.3 mm.

**DISCUSSION**

The large size and the acute apex of the shell, distinctly protruding upwards, that is best visible in embryonic specimens, make possible the clear differentiation of the specimens collected in the Transcarpathian region from *V. viviparus*, widely distributed in Ukraine. Among all *Viviparus* species occurring in Europe, only *V. viviparus* has a blunt apex (GŁOER & GEOGIEV 2014).

It is somewhat more difficult to distinguish *V. acerosus* from another conchologically similar species – *V. ater* (GŁOER 2002, SOES et al. 2009). As usual, the differences in the growth rate of the two upper (embryonic) shell whorls in these two species, resulting in the shaping of a disproportionately small apex in *V. acerosus* (GŁOER 2002), have been mentioned. However, it is a problem to apply this character to the shells with corroded apex. In this case, much more reliable is the using of such a diagnostic character as the presence or absence of hairs on the shells of embryonic individuals and their relative length: in *V. acerosus* the embryonic shell is covered with hairs; in *V. ater* the hairs are absent or very small (GŁOER & GEOGIEV 2014). According to FALNIOWSKI et al. (1996b), the main bristles in *V. ater* and *V. acerosus* are «moderately long». However, the scale of Fig. 16 (photograph of the surface of the last whorl of the embryonic shell in *V. ater*) and Fig. 17 (the same in *V. acerosus*) shows that they are at least twice as long in *A. acerosus*. Thus, according to the hair length, molluscs collected in the Transcarpathian region should be attributed to *V. acerosus*.

In our opinion, the use of another diagnostic character proposed by GŁOER & GEOGIEV (2014) is not so straightforward: the presence of a weak cant on the periphery of the last whorl in juveniles of *V. ater* in comparison with the rounded whorl in *V. acerosus*. It is possible, that this character may be applied only to juvenile molluscs that have already left the body of the maternal individual and to the older embryonic specimens. As our study has shown, in younger embryonic specimens of *V. acerosus* with smaller shells, the periphery of the last whorl also looks more or less angular (Fig. 16), what can lead to an erroneous identification. One can also see small angularity in the shell photos of juvenile (embryonic) individuals of *V. acerosus* from other parts of the species range (FALNIOWSKI et al. 1996b: fig. 2, GŁOER & MEIER-BROOK 1998, GŁOER 2002: fig. 40).

*V. acerosus* has recently been often mentioned mistakenly from Ukraine as a junior synonym to *V. ater* (ANISTRATENKO & ANISTRATENKO 2001, KANTOR & SYSOEV 2005, VINARSKI & KANTOR 2016). The reason for this was the publication of CHERNOGORENKO (1988), who has reviewed the Viviparidae species composition of Europe and Western Asia. Having compared the image of *Viviparus mamillatus* (Küster, 1852) from Montenegro in the original description of this species (KÜSTER 1852) with the large *Viviparus* shells from Hungary and the Northern Black Sea Coast, which she has identified as *V. acerosus*, this researcher came to the conclusion that they are “completely identical in all Raup’s parameters”.

However, Central European malacologists either recognise the validity of all three species: *V. acerosus*, *V. ater* and *V. mamillatus* (GŁOER 2002, WELTER-SCHULTES 2012), or consider *V. mamillatus* a junior synonym of *V. contectus* (RYSIEWSKA et al. 2019). Moreover, the distribution areas of *V. ater* and *V. mamillatus*, known at present, do not coincide (WELTER-SCHULTES 2012). *V. ater* does not occur in Montenegro, where the material described by KÜSTER (1852: 9, taf. 2, fig. 1–5) was collected. Therefore, the images of *V. mamillatus* presented by KÜSTER (1852), in any way could not be the reason for bringing *V. acerosus* in synonymy with *V. ater*. It has also been shown that *V. acerosus* and *V. ater* can be clearly differentiated by the structure of embryonic shells (GŁOER & GEOGIEV 2014), as has been mentioned above.

Besides, the occurrence of a Southern Alpine species *V. ater* (GŁOER 2002) in Ukraine in itself remains unproven, and needs additional confirmation, taking into account the diagnostic characters used by the Central European malacologists (GŁOER 2002, GŁOER & GEOGIEV 2014). According to these researches, within its natural range *V. ater* is distributed in Northern Italy (GŁOER 2002, WELTER-SCHULTES 2012), from where it has been brought by the human to some lakes in Switzerland (Geneva, Zurich) and later on – to the Lake Constance (Bodensee) (GŁOER 2002, WELTER-SCHULTES 2012). Moreover, this species was introduced to France (GARGOMINY et al. 2011). Eastwards from Northern Italy, *V. ater* has been found in Croatia and Slovenia, where it is represented by the subspecies *V. ater gallensteinii* (Kobelt, 1892) (VAVROVA 2010). The reference to
the isolated occurrence of *V. ater* in Central Greece (Welte-Schultes 2012) concerns *Viviparus hellenicus* (Clessin, 1879), which previously has been considered as subspecies of *V. ater* (Vavrova 2010), and at present – as a separate species (Falniowski et al. 1996b, Glöer & Georgiev 2014). Taking into consideration the general picture of *V. ater* distribution, it should be noted, that although some finds of this species in the territory of Ukraine may be possible, they must be associated with anthropochory.

The Eastern European malacologists (Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001, Starobogatov et al. 2004), following Chernogorenko (1988), consider that the natural range of *V. ater* is much broader and includes the entire Danube basin and the Northern Black Sea coast as well. In the opinion of these authors, *V. ater* in Ukraine has been distributed in the Danube, Dniester and Dnieper basins. They consider that this species earlier occurred downstream the Dnieper rapids and in the right tributaries of the Prypiat, and later on has spread over the whole Dnieper owing to the regulation of its flow by the complex of reservoirs (Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001). However, it is possible that in that region the large specimens of *V. viviparus* for a long time have been accepted as *V. ater* on the grounds of «a faster increase in the cross-section of the shell tube» (Chernogorenko 1988), resulting in a slightly larger width of the last whorl (Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001). Slight differences in the size of the apical angle were also mentioned, in *V. viviparus* usually making up about 105–108°, and in *V. ater*, in the opinion of the Eastern European malacologists, not more than 100° (Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001).

Unfortunately, none of the descriptions of *V. ater* or the identification keys of *Viviparus* species, compiled by the authors mentioned above, contains the conchological character that has been used for differentiation of *V. viviparus* and other European *Viviparus* species by Central European malacologists (Glöer 2002, Glöer & Georgiev 2014). This is an acute apex of the shell, distinctly protruding upwards in *V. ater* and other species in comparison with a blunt, smoothed apex in *V. viviparus*.

According to Anistratenko & Anistratenko (2001), the maximum shell sizes of *V. ater* and *V. viviparus* are the same: the height of the shell 40 mm and its width 30 mm. This also made us think about the possibility of the erroneous identification, since both *V. ater*, and especially *V. acerosus*, which these authors have proposed as a synonym to the former species (see above), are characterised by larger shells in comparison with *V. viviparus* (Glöer & Meier-Brook 1998, Glöer 2002, Welte-Schultes 2012).

Chernogorenko (1988) has not mentioned the dimensional parameters of molluscs from the territory of Ukraine, which were recognised by herself as *V. ater*. This author’s paper includes a drawing of one shell from the Kiev reservoir (Fig. 20), reproduced later in the works of Anistratenko (Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001, etc.). Judging by the scale bar, the height of this shell is about 36 mm, and its shape is more similar to *V. viviparus* (Fig. 7) than to *V. ater*. A completely different image of the *V. ater* shell (Fig. 21), adopted from the work of Kobelt (1909), is given in the guide of Starobogatov et al. (2004). Obviously, it is a slightly modified drawing of Vivipara pyramidalis erjaveci Kobelt, 1909 from a lake near Gorizia city, Italy (in German Görz) (Kobelt 1909: pl. 68, fig. 5) and bears no relation to Ukraine.

Two large samples of *Viviparus* from the Kakhovka reservoir in the Dnieper, collected in 2006 and 2017 and being kept in SMNH NASU (Gural & Gural-

---

Sverlova 2018), contain only V. viviparus shells, though these are relatively large for this species. The blunt apexes typical of this species and well preserved (non-corroded) even in adults, leave no doubt as to the correctness of the species identification. Two of the largest shells are shown on Figs 6, 7, and the shell of the embryonic individual – on Fig. 17. The maximum height of V. viviparus shells collected in the reservoir in 2006 is 42.1 mm, in 2017 – 38.8 mm, which is larger than the shell depicted in Chernogorenko (1988). According to the monograph of Anistratenko & Anistratenko (2001), in the lower Dnieper, in the Kakhovka and Zaporozhye reservoirs, V. ater predominates among the Viviparus species.

It is also possible that in Ukraine by the name V. ater a mixture of two species has been recently designated: certain conchological forms of V. viviparus (in particular, from the above-mentioned Dnieper reservoirs) and V. acerosus (from the lower Danube – see below). It is significant that in the thesis by Ryabceva (2013: fig. 1) only two locations of V. ater have been mentioned: several closely situated localities in the lower reaches of the Danube and one locality in the Kiev region. Obviously, in the latter case the question is about a fish-breeding pond in Nemeshevo village, where the large specimens of Viviparus have been found with a shell height up to 44.3 mm (Ryabceva & Degtyarenko 2011). If these authors were not dealing with an abnormally large form of V. viviparus, the colony they have investigated could be formed as a result of anthropochory, for example, by individuals transferred from the Danube basin.

From the lower Danube in the south-west of the modern Odessa region, V. acerosus was recorded for the first time at the beginning of the 20th century by the material from Lake Cahul in the environs of Reni, collected in 1903 by Alexander Brauner (Lindholm 1908). Despite the relatively small size of adults (shell height from 40 to 42 mm at 6.5 whorls) for V. acerosus, the molluscs collected in Cahul obviously could not belong to V. viviparus. Lindholm (1908) has emphasised such a distinctive character of V. acerosus as «vorragender, fast nadelspitzer Apex» (protruding, sharp apex, almost like a needle).

The subsequent indication of possible availability of true V. acerosus in the territory of Ukraine can be found in the monograph of Zhadin (1952), who mentioned the large form of V. viviparus with shell height up to 57 mm and width up to 40 mm, which occurred in the oxbows of the Danube. Such large specimens of Viviparus have been mentioned from the hydrotopes of the Danube delta recently as well (Dzhurtubaaev et al. 2017). The name V. viviparus var. hungaricus Hazay, 1881, used by Zhadin (1952), is usually considered as a synonym to V. acerosus (Glöer 2002), although Chernogorenko (1988) proposed to allocate V. hungaricus into a separate subgenus Hazayipaludina Tchernogorenko et Starobogatov, 1988, which is characterised by more thin-walled shell with a well-developed malleate sculpture on its surface. She has, however, also brought V. hungaricus to a synonymy not with V. acerosus, but with V. rossmaessleri (Bourguignat, 1880) and with V. pyramidalis Rossmässler, 1835. Both V. pyramidalis (Glöer 2002, Vavrova 2010), and V. rossmaessleri (Vavrova 2010) are now considered as junior synonyms of V. ater. The same researcher (Chernogorenko 1988) suggested that V. rossmaessleri (in her own conception of this taxon, and not as a synonym to V. ater) in future could be found in the hydrotopes of the Transcarpathian region of Ukraine. Obviously, this assumption should be also attributed to the Danube species V. acerosus.

Since the true V. acerosus is distributed in the Danube basin (Glöer 2002, Welter-Schultes 2012), in Ukraine it may occur in the lower reaches of this river (the south-western outskirts of the Odessa region, as indicated in the above-mentioned literature), in the basins of the Tysa (Transcarpathian region, where this species has been found by the authors of this paper) and the Prut rivers (Chernovtsy region and the southern part of Ivano-Frankovsk region). However, in order to establish the exact limits of the present distribution of this species in Ukraine, additional field and laboratory studies are necessary, desirably with the extraction and subsequent study of the embryonic specimens’ shells (Glöer & Georgiev 2014). The study of the distribution and state of populations of V. acerosus in Ukraine is of particular importance because the north-eastern limit of the species range passes through this area (Welter-Schultes 2012), which can make V. acerosus here vulnerable enough. It should be noted that in the north-western edge of its range V. acerosus has been protected in Austria, Czech Republic and Germany (Glöer 2002). In the latter case, the question is about isolated populations of this species in southern Germany near Passau and Geislingen (Welter-Schultes 2012).

The need of a more careful study of the Viviparus species composition in the Ukrainian part of the Danube basin is also connected with the recent re-description of V. sphaeridius, locus typicus of which is in the Danube on the present border between Romania and Bulgaria (Glöer & Georgiev 2014: fig. 1). The shell photos of adult and embryonic specimens of this species given by Glöer & Georgiev (2014: fig. 3) considerably differ from the images of V. sphaeridius in the publications of Ukrainian malacologists (Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001: fig. 84, Ryabceva & Anistratenko 2012: figs 4E, 4F; Ryabceva 2014: figs 2C, 2D). The differences in
the shell outlines of adults are shown on Figs 23–26. The specimens from Ukraine (Figs 22, 25, 26) differ by more convex whorls, «distinctly rounded on the periphery» (ANISTRATENKO & ANISTRATENKO 2001). The difference is especially noticeable on the last whorl, which in type specimens of *V. sphaeridius* looks rather slightly pressed in its upper half. The outline drawing of the shell in the guide by Starobogatov et al. (2001) is much more similar to the type material of *V. sphaeridius* (Glöer & Georgiev 2014: fig. 3), but the origin of the depicted shell has not been indicated.

The outlines of the upper part of the embryonic shells in *V. viviparus* and *V. sphaeridius* from Ukraine in the paper by Ryabceva & Anistratenko (2012: figs 4A, 4E) are similar and conform to those of *V. viviparus*, but not of *V. sphaeridius* from the locus typicus (Glöer & Georgiev 2014: fig. 5). This fact suggests Ukrainian researchers hitherto have dealt not with the true *V. sphaeridius*, which occurs in the Danube basin, but, most likely, with a conchological form of *V. viviparus*. At the same time, one cannot exclude the possibility of finding the true *V. sphaeridius* in the Ukrainian part of the Danube basin (first of all, in the lower reaches of the Danube in the territory of Odessa region). So far, in the territory of Ukraine, 3 species of Viviparidae can be considered as reliably registered: *V. contectus*, *V. viviparus* and *V. acerosus*. The schemes of their distribution (Figs 27, 28) were compiled by us on the basis of our own observations, collection material of SMNH NASU and literary data (Anistratenko et al. 2014, Gural & Gural-Sverlova 2018 and others).

Since the cases of successful introduction into other European countries are known both in *V. acerosus* (Soes et al. 2009) and true *V. ater* (Glöer 2002, Gargominy et al. 2011, Welter-Schultes 2012), it is necessary to check carefully all findings of *Viviparus* with especially large shells with height above 40 mm, in different parts of Ukraine, as, for example, the finding from Nemeshaevo village, Kiev region (Ryabceva & Degtyarenko 2011). According to the literature, the shell height in true *V. ater* can reach 45 mm (Glöer 2002, Welter-Schultes 2012), in true *V. acerosus* – up to 50 mm (Welter-Schultes 2012) and even more – up to 57 mm (Glöer 2002). In the conchologically similar *V. viviparus*, widespread in Europe (Welter-Schultes 2012) and in Ukraine (Gural & Gural-Sverlova 2018), shell height usually does not exceed 40 mm (Zhadin 1952, Anistratenko & Anistratenko 2001, Glöer 2002).

Thus our research has confirmed the presence of true *V. acerosus* in the territory of Ukraine, the natural range of which is restricted to the Danube basin. It is necessary to study *Viviparus* more thoroughly in the west (Transcarpathian, Ivano-Frankovsk and Chernovtsy regions) and the south-west (Odessa region) of the country in order to determine the exact distribution of *V. acerosus* and assess the state of its populations. Only with more precise and reliable data will it be possible to assess the need for conservation at regional or national levels. It is possible that further study of *Viviparus* species in the lower reaches of the Danube (Odessa region) would ascertain the presence or absence of another Danube species, *V. sphaeridius*, where present Ukrainian records may be in error.
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Figs 27–28. Locations of the finds of *Viviparus* species in Ukraine: 27 – *V. contextus* (circles) and *V. acerosus* (triangles); 28 – *V. viviparus*
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