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ABSTRACT: Three European melanopsids: Melanopsis parreyssii (Philippi, 1847) from Ochiul Mare (Romania), Fagotia acicularis (A. Férussac, 1828) from a spring near Crisul Negru (Romania), and Holandriana holandria (C. Pfeiffer, 1828) from Lake Skutari (Montenegro), as well as Melanopsis costata (Oliver, 1804) from Iraq, are considered in this paper. Eight partial sequences of ribosomal 18S, and seven of mitochondrial COI were analysed. Maximum likelihood trees based on 18S confirm the placement of the Melanopsidae within the Cerithioidea, as well as the monophyly of the latter group. The COI-based tree confirms the placement of the Melanopsidae within the Cerithioidea, but does not confirm the monophyly of either Melanopsidae or Cerithioidea. The results suggest that Fagotia should be synonymised with Melanopsis, Holandriana is a distinct genus, and Melanopsis costata is not congeneric with M. parreyssii. The application of molecular clock, with one point calibration for COI for the Hydrobiidae, estimated the times of divergence as 2.53±0.56 Mya for M. parreyssii and F. acicularis, 9.49±1.67 Mya for M. parreyssii and H. holandria, and 10.71±1.88 Mya for F. acicularis and H. holandria. 2.5 Mya coincides with the beginning of the glacial period in Europe, and 8–12 Mya was the time when Lake Pannon covered the largest area.
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INTRODUCTION

The freshwater gastropod family Melanopside is world-wide distributed and rich in species. The phylogenetic relationships within the group and the position of the Melanopsidae are only fragmentarily known. The same concerns the species-level taxonomy, which is unclear (e.g. FALNIOWSKI et al. 2002a, b). This is due to the lack of taxonomically useful characters in their soft-part anatomy (e.g. they are aphallic) and the shell, which, like in most gastropods, is variable. Many authors agreed as to their placement within the Cerithioidea (e.g. THIEL 1929, HOUBRICK 1988, PONDER & WARREN 1988, HODGSON & HELLER 1997, BIELER 1998), but recently LYDEARD et al. (2002), in their study on the 16S mitochondrial sequences, did not confirm the monophyly of the Melanopsidae. This is not surprising: the Cerithioidea may have invaded freshwaters more than once. Many examples of the melanopside radiation in ancient lakes are known, but there are few Recent species of this family in the European malacofauna. However, they dominated in Lake Pannon which covered a considerable part of Central Europe in the late Miocene–Pliocene, 12–4 Mya (GEARY et al. 2000, HARZHAUSER & MANDIC 2008). The Recent melanopside fauna in this part of Europe is most probably a relic of this radiation.

We have applied partial sequences of 18S ribosomal DNA and COI mitochondrial DNA. The aims were as follows: 1. to check the position and monophyly of the Melanopsidae; 2. to infer the relationships between the three Central European genera: Melanopsis Férussac, 1807, Fagotia Bourguignat, 1884, and Holandriana Bourguignat, 1884; 3. to estimate,
applying the molecular clock, the time of divergence between the genera, and try to relate it to the geological history of this part of Europe.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

LOCALITY DESCRIPTION AND SNAIL COLLECTION

Three European species of the Melanopsidae: Melanopsis parreyssii (Philippi, 1847), Fagotia acicularis (A. Férussac, 1828), and Holandriana holantri (C. Pfeiffer, 1828), as well as Melanopsis costata Olivier, 1804 from Iraq were examined.

Melanopsis parreyssii – thermal spring, in the form of a small lake called Ochiul Mare in Bâile 1 Mai (Bâile Episcopiei) near Oradea, Romania; 46°59’54.2”N; 22°00’11.5”E; snails collected from a stony and gravelly substratum, among macrophytes, at a depth of ca. 0.3 m;

Fagotia acicularis – big, tributary spring of the river Crisul Negru, between Giuta and Căpălău, North of Ceika, South of Oradea, Romania; 46°45’3.6”N; 22°12’48”E; snails collected from a sandy bottom, covered with a layer of detritus;

Holandriana holantri – Dolni Muriči, the southern bank of Lake Skutari, Montenegro; 42°09’45”N, 19°13’21”E; snails collected from a sandy-stony bottom close to the shore.

For the phylogenetic inferences we used all the sequences representing the Cerithioidea, which were available from GenBank (Table 1), together with sequences of Aperstoma palmeri (Bartsch et Morrison, 1942), Bithynia tentaculata (Linnaeus, 1758), Bolinus brandaris (Linnaeus, 1758), Campanile symbolicum (Iredale, 1917), Crepidula adunca (Sowerby, 1825), Lithoglyphus naticoides (Pfeiffer, 1828), Bythinella australis (Frauenfeld, 1856), Rissoa labiosa (Montagu, 1803), and Littorina littorea (Linnaeus, 1758) as a multiple outgroup. To test the molecular clock, all the studied melanopsid taxa were used, the outgroups being the hydrobids Peringia ulvae (Pennant, 1777) and Selenithyas ferrerii Wilke, 2003 whose divergence time was used to calibrate the clock.

Snails were collected with a sieve, or by hand. Their subsequent treatment with alcohol (80%) was as follows. Firstly, they were washed twice and left to stand for ca. 12 hours. Afterwards, the alcohol was replaced and left to stand for another 24 hours, after which it was again replaced. Finally, after a few days, the 80% solution was exchanged for a 96% one; the material was stored at –20°C.

MOLECULAR WORK

Ethanol-fixed snails were washed three times with ice-cold water, than DNA was isolated according to the method described by Spolsky (Spolsky et al. 1996) and Davis (Davis et al. 1998) with modifications. The isolated DNA was used as a template in PCR reaction with the primers: LCO1490 (5’-GGTCAACAAA TCATAAGATATTGG-3’) and COR722b (5’-TAAACTTCAGGGTGACACCAAAAAATYA-3’) for COI gene (Folmer et al. 1994, Davis et al. 1998) and SWAM18SR1 (5’-GAATGGCTCATTAAACAGT CGAGGTTCTTAGATGATCCTAATC-3’), and SWAM18SF1 (5’-ATCCTCGTTAAAGGGTTTAA GTTGACTCATTCCAATTACGGAGC-3’) for 18S gene (Palumbi 1996). The PCR conditions were: 1. For COI – 4 min. at 94°C followed by 35 cycles of 1 min. at 94°C, 1 min. at 55°C 2 min. at 72°C, after all cycles an additional elongation step of 4 min. at 72°C was performed; 2. For 18S – 4 min. at 94°C followed by 40 cycles of 45 sec. at 94°C, 45 sec. at 51°C 2 min. at 72°C, after all cycles an additional elongation step of 4 min. at 72°C was performed. The PCR was made in 50 ml volume, 10 ml of which was electrophoresed in 1% agarose gel. After amplification the PCR product was purified using Clean-Up columns (A&B Biotechnology) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The purified PCR product was sequenced using the BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturer’s protocol and with the same primers as for the PCR. The reaction product was purified using ExTerminator Columns (A&B Biotechnology) following the manufacturer’s protocol, and the sequences were read at an ABI Prism sequencer.

DATA ANALYSIS

The COI sequences were aligned by eye, using BioEdit 5.0.0 (Hall 1999) and edited with MACCLADE 4.05 (Maddison & Maddison 2002). For 18S an initial alignment was performed using the CLUSTALX 1.82 (Thompson et al. 1997). Variable fragments that could not be aligned unambiguously were then removed with MACCLADE.

The maximum likelihood approach often tends to find the wrong reconstructions (NI et al. 1998, Nei & Kumar 2000). There is no parameter associated with the tree topology in the entire maximum likelihood theory: one must simply assume that the tree with the “truest” branch lengths is also the one with the best topology (Yang et al. 1995, Nei 1987, 1996). There is also strong evidence that the more complicated the model of evolution, the higher the variance of the resulting reconstructions (Nei & Kumar 2000). Our knowledge of the evolution of DNA is still incom-
plete, thus all the available models are rather unrealistic. It may happen that the simplest models will result in phylogeny reconstructions which are closest to the real historical processes (Gaut & Lewis 1995, Yang 1997, Takahashi & Nei 2000, Falmioski 2003). On the other hand, similar remarks can be made about other phylogenetic techniques as well, and the ML approach is not sensitive to the violation of some of its assumptions (Swofford et al. 1996). Thus we decided to apply the maximum likelihood approach as implemented in PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). PAUP together with Modeltest (Posada & Crandall 1998) was used to find the appropriate model of evolution, with the Akaike Information Criterion (Posada & Buckley 2004). This model was also selected for the set of taxa with Peringia and Salenhaedrobia as an outgroup, and the best ML (branch-and-bound) trees were found to perform the Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) (Nei & Kumar 2000, Posada 2003) with PAUP. MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007) was used to run the Relative Rate Tests (RRT) (Tajima 1993). The pairwise Maximum Composite Likelihood distances (A) with standard errors (10,000 bootstrap replicates) were calculated with MEGA4. Wilke’s (2003) data were used to calibrate the clock.

RESULTS

For the 18S, eight sequences, 444 bp each, and for COI seven sequences, 663 bp each, were analysed (Table 1).

Table 1. Sequences used for phylogeny inference and molecular clock calibration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>18S GB accession no</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>COI GB accession no</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aperostoma palmeri (Bartsch and Morrison, 1942)</td>
<td>DQ093435</td>
<td>GIRIBET et al. (2006)</td>
<td>DQ093523</td>
<td>GIRIBET et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batillaria minima (Gmelin, 1791)</td>
<td>AF055649</td>
<td>HARASEWYCH et al. (1998)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batillaria atramentaria (Sowerby, 1844)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bithynia tentaculata (Linnaeus, 1758)</td>
<td>AF367675</td>
<td>WILKE et al. (2001)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolinus brandaris (Linnaeus, 1758)</td>
<td>DQ279944</td>
<td>GIRIBET et al. (2006)</td>
<td>DQ280020</td>
<td>GIRIBET et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bythina austriaca (Frauenfeld, 1856)</td>
<td>AF212917</td>
<td>SZAROWSKA (2006)</td>
<td>EF070617</td>
<td>SZAROWSKA (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campanile symbolicum (Iredale, 1917)</td>
<td>DQ916524</td>
<td>COLGAN et al. (2007)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerithium atratum (Born, 1778)</td>
<td>L78895</td>
<td>HARASEWYCH et al. (1997)</td>
<td>L78907</td>
<td>HARASEWYCH et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crepidula adunca (Sowerby, 1825)</td>
<td>X94277</td>
<td>WINNEPENNINCX et al. (1998)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithophyllum naticoloides (Pfeiffer, 1828)</td>
<td>AF367674</td>
<td>WILKE et al. (2001)</td>
<td>AF367642</td>
<td>WILKE et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maniculopsis roseus (Quoy and Gamard, 1834)</td>
<td>DQ916523</td>
<td>COLGAN et al. (2007)</td>
<td>DQ916497</td>
<td>COLGAN et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus modulatus (Linnaeus, 1758)</td>
<td>AF055650</td>
<td>HARASEWYCH et al. (1998)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rissoa labiosa (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>AY676126</td>
<td>SZAROWSKA et al. (2005)</td>
<td>AY676128</td>
<td>SZAROWSKA et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeacumantus subcarinatus (Sowerby, 1855)</td>
<td>DQ916522</td>
<td>COLGAN et al. (2007)</td>
<td>AY296834</td>
<td>COLGAN et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salenhaedrobia ferrerii Wilke, 2003</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AF449200</td>
<td>WILKE 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peringia ulvae (Pennant, 1777)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AF118288</td>
<td>WILKE 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanopsis parreyssii (Philippi, 1847) 1</td>
<td>FJ652192</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>FJ652185</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanopsis parreyssii (Philippi, 1847) 2</td>
<td>FJ652193</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>FJ652186</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanopsis costata Olivier, 1804 1</td>
<td>FJ652194</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>FJ652191</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanopsis costata Olivier, 1804 2</td>
<td>FJ652195</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagotia acicularis (A. Férussac, 1828) 1</td>
<td>FJ652196</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>FJ652187</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagotia acicularis (A. Férussac, 1828) 2</td>
<td>FJ652197</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>FJ652188</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holandriana holandri (C. Pfeiffer, 1828) 1</td>
<td>FJ652198</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>FJ652189</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holandriana holandri (C. Pfeiffer, 1828) 2</td>
<td>FJ652199</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td>FJ652190</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
proportion of invariable sites: (I) = 0.7941, and a distribution with the shape parameter = 0.5421. For the COI for all the taxa the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) with ModelTest found the model TrN+I+G, the base frequencies: A = 0.3182, C = 0.1362, G = 0.1455, T = 0.4001; substitution rate matrix: [A-C] = 1.0000, [A-G] = 9.0122, [A-T] = 1.0000, [C-G] = 1.0000, [C-T] = 22.8519, [G-T] = 1.0000; proportion of invariable sites: (I) = 0.3987, and a distribution with the shape parameter = 0.3434.

The 18S tree (Fig. 1) confirmed the monophyly of the Cerithioidea (bootstrap support 65), and Melanopsidae (bootstrap support 72). *Melanopsis parreyssii* was different from the other Melanopsidae. The COI tree (Fig. 2) confirmed the monophyly of neither the Cerithioidea nor the Melanopsidae. *Melanopsis parreyssii* and *Fagotia acicularis* were very close to each other (see also the distances: Table 2), forming the clade with support 88, but *Holandriana holandri* was distantly related to this clade. *Melanopsis costata* was the most distant from the other melanopsid species.

The K2P distances (Kimura 1980), commonly used for interspecific comparisons (Table 2), averaged 0.0529±0.0029 between *Melanopsis parreyssii* and *Fagotia acicularis*; 0.2044±0.0025 between *M. parreyssii* and *Holandriana holandri*; 0.2040±0.0008 between *F. acicularis* and *H. holandri*; 0.1499 between *M. costata* and *F. acicularis*; 0.2051 between *M. costata* and *H. holandri*. The mean distance between *Holandriana holandri* and the other, non-melanopsid species of the Cerithioidea was 0.2355±0.01722.

For the COI, for the Melanopsidae with *Salenthydrobia ferrerii* and *Peringia ulvae* as outgroup the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) with ModelTest found the model K81uf+I, the base frequencies: A = 0.2733, C = 0.1710, G = 0.1727, T = 0.3831; substitution rate matrix: [A-C] = 1.0000, [A-G] = 23.7601, [A-T] = 3.1399, [C-G] = 0.6448, [C-T] = 23.7601, [G-T] = 1.0000; the proportion of invariable sites: (I) = 0.6448, and equal rates for all sites. The branch-and-bound ML tree computed for all the Melanopsidae with *Salenthydrobia ferrerii* and *Peringia*...
ulvae as outgroup, with the above model with no molecular clock had Ln likelihood = –2137.6527, and with the molecular clock enforced Ln likelihood = 2142.5857, Δ = 9.8660, DF = 7, p>0.1963, thus the molecular clock hypothesis was not rejected. Tajima's test with Peringia ulvae as outgroup calculated the probabilities: P=0.38832 for Fagotia acicularis and Holandriana holandri, P=0.66982 for F. acicularis and Melanopsis parreyssii, and P=0.50759 for H. holandri and M. parreyssii. With Salenthalhydrobia ferrerii as outgroup the probabilities were: P=0.59192 for F. acicularis and H. holandri, P=0.25135 for F. acicularis and M. parreyssii, and P=1.00000 for H. holandri and M. parreyssii. Thus, Tajima’s test also did not reject the molecular clock hypothesis.

The Maximum Composite Likelihood distances (Δ), with their standard error bootstrap estimates (Table 2), and the time of divergence 5.96 Mya, correcting WILKE’s (2003) calibration (see the Discussion) were used to estimate the time of divergence. The estimated time was 2.53±0.56 Mya for Melanopsis parreyssii and Fagotia acicularis; 9.49±1.67 Mya for M. parreyssii and Holandriana holandri; 10.71±1.88 Mya for F. acicularis and H. holandri.

Table 2. Distances used for estimation of the time of divergence: below diagonal Maximum Composite Likelihood distances (Δ) with standard errors, above diagonal K2P distances with standard errors (10,000 bootstrap replicates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. acicularis 1</td>
<td>0.0048±0.0027</td>
<td>0.2081±0.0202</td>
<td>0.2081±0.0202</td>
<td>0.0519±0.0093</td>
<td>0.0519±0.0094</td>
<td>0.2314±0.0220</td>
<td>0.2382±0.0227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. acicularis 2</td>
<td>0.0484±0.0027</td>
<td>0.2041±0.0202</td>
<td>0.2041±0.0202</td>
<td>0.0468±0.0089</td>
<td>0.0468±0.0090</td>
<td>0.2250±0.0217</td>
<td>0.2317±0.0224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. holandri 1</td>
<td>0.2100±0.0364</td>
<td>0.2051±0.0354</td>
<td>0.0000±0.0000</td>
<td>0.2060±0.0293</td>
<td>0.2017±0.0202</td>
<td>0.2503±0.0237</td>
<td>0.2427±0.0228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. holandri 2</td>
<td>0.2100±0.0364</td>
<td>0.2051±0.0354</td>
<td>0.0000±0.0000</td>
<td>0.2060±0.0293</td>
<td>0.2017±0.0202</td>
<td>0.2503±0.0237</td>
<td>0.2427±0.0228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. parreyssii 1</td>
<td>0.0516±0.0108</td>
<td>0.0464±0.0102</td>
<td>0.2078±0.0364</td>
<td>0.2078±0.0364</td>
<td>0.2358±0.0225</td>
<td>0.2382±0.0227</td>
<td>0.2452±0.0229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. parreyssii 2</td>
<td>0.0516±0.0107</td>
<td>0.0463±0.0101</td>
<td>0.2035±0.0361</td>
<td>0.2035±0.0361</td>
<td>0.0032±0.0022</td>
<td>0.1195±0.0015</td>
<td>0.1195±0.0016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. ulvae</td>
<td>0.2356±0.0427</td>
<td>0.2285±0.0425</td>
<td>0.2285±0.0425</td>
<td>0.2285±0.0425</td>
<td>0.2421±0.0415</td>
<td>0.2421±0.0415</td>
<td>0.2421±0.0415</td>
<td>0.2421±0.0415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. ferrerii</td>
<td>0.2430±0.0430</td>
<td>0.2356±0.0413</td>
<td>0.2469±0.0428</td>
<td>0.2469±0.0428</td>
<td>0.2472±0.0441</td>
<td>0.2496±0.0443</td>
<td>0.115±0.0205</td>
<td>0.115±0.0205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2.** Maximum likelihood phylogram for COI (see text for details). Bootstrap support indicated (10,000 replicates) when >50%
DISCUSSION

The 18S tree confirmed the monophyly of both the Melanopsidae and Cerithioidea, questioned by LYDEARD et al. (2002). It may be due to the set of taxa used, but no other 18S cerithioid sequences were available. On the other hand, the COI tree confirmed the monophyly of neither Melanopsidae nor Cerithioidea. It has to be noted, however, that this tree did not confirm the monophyly of the Rissooidea either. The question of the monophyly of the Melanopsidae remains open. The position of the Iraqi *Melanopsis costata*, far from the three European melanopsid species, suggests that the inclusion of a taxon from outside Europe may have resulted in a definite rejection of the monophyly of the Melanopsidae.

The distances for the COI, which are much more appropriate to genus-level phylogenies than the very conservative 18S, suggest that *Melanopsis parreyssii* and *Fagotia acicularis* are congeneric, and both belong to the genus *Melanopsis* (Philippi, 1847). On the other hand, *Melanopsis costata* from Iraq cannot be congeneric with *M. parreyssii*. *Holandriana* is markedly different, thus confirming the classification of THIELE (1929), who placed it in a distinct subfamily.

Despite all precautions concerning the molecular clock concept and scaling (HILLIS et al. 1996, AVISE 2000, NEI & KUMAR 2000, POSADA 2003), there are many instances of its usage in prosobranch snails (WILKE 2003, 2004, HAASE et al. 2007, FALNIOWSKI et al. 2007, 2008). The calibration point estimated for the Rissooidea (Hydrobiidae) need not be applicable to the Melanopsidae, but there are no other data available. The distances considered are within a range that most probably is not yet affected by saturation (WILKE et al. 2001), but with one point calibration it is not possible to obtain reasonable estimates of confidence intervals (HILLIS et al. 1996).

HAASE et al. (2007) pointed out another problem concerning calibration. 5.33 Mya is the time of the end of the Messinian Salinity Crisis (Pliocene Flooding). In fact, the isolation of the Atlantic *Peringia* from the Mediterranean *Salenthryobia* must have begun earlier: when the Mediterranean Basin started to separate from the Atlantic, 5.96 Mya (KRIJGSMAN et al. 1999, FALNIOWSKI et al. 2007, 2008). 5.33 Mya *Salenthryobia* became isolated in a brackishwater habitat from the other *Hydrobia* Hartmann, 1821 in the Mediterranean, but its isolation from the Atlantic *Peringia* Paladilhe, 1874 had begun earlier. Thus we used 5.96 Mya as the point of calibration.

The Recent ranges of the studied European melanopsid species overlap. *Melanopsis parreyssii* inhabits thermal springs and brooks in Transylvania and Buda-pest (GLOER 2002). Its distribution, narrower than in the Pliocene, shows a relic character (GROSSU 1986). *Fagotia acicularis* inhabits springs and running waters from the Black Sea coast to the Hungarian part of the Danube system. It occurs in the lower part of the river Nitra (Slovakia) and at isolated localities in Austria (thermal springs in Villach and Vöslau: GLOER 2002). This is a Pontic species (LOZER 1956) known from the Pleistocene of the Elbe-Saale Mountains, Turing, Harz and Hungary. In the Holocene it reached the Dnepr system. *Holandriana holandii* is a southeast Alpine element (GLOER 2002) inhabiting lakes and rivers. It is known from a few localities in Austria, but its main range extends from Dalmatia to Montenegro, Albania and Romania.

Before 3 Mya, in Europe there was a sharp decrease in temperature and in precipitation. Later, the temperature and humidity rose only to be followed by several alternate cold and warm periods, after which, about 2.5 Mya, the glacial period in Europe began (STANLEY 1999) predating the Pleistocene. At that time the subtropical vegetation definitively disappeared from Europe. The estimated divergence time between the *Melanopsis parreyssii* and *Fagotia acicularis* – 2.53±0.56 Mya – clearly coincides with the beginning of the glacial period. The ancestors of the two species probably found shelter in separate glacial refugia. The occurrence of *Melanopsis parreyssii* in thermal springs may suggest that it survived the glaciations in hot thermal waters, which were quite common during the Pleistocene in the present territory of Romania.

Lake Pannon existed from approximately 12 to 4 Mya, situated in the Pannonian basin of the Central-East Europe. It was formed by isolation from the sea about 12 Mya, when the newly emerging Carpathian mountain chain cut off the Central European Pannonian basin from the South-East European inland sea, the Paratethys (ROGL 1998, 1999). Its average salinity was approximately 10–12‰ (GEARY et al. 2000). Among its gastropods the Hydrobiidae (more than 180 species described so far) and Melanopsidae (more than 100 species) dominated. It seems that such parallel radiation of the two families was unique to Lake Pannon: in other big, long-lasting lakes there was a radiation of either Hydrobiidae or Melanopsidae. According to HARZHAUSER & MANDIC (2008), the main radiation of the Melanopsidae in Lake Pannon took place between 10 and 8 Mya.

The estimated time of divergence between the *Melanopsis/Fagotia* clade and *Holandriana* (9.49±1.67–10.71±1.88 Mya) coincides with the time of the main melanopsid radiation in the lake. Considering the Recent ranges of the taxa, it can be assumed that the ancestor of *Holandriana* inhabited the south or south-west part of the lake, while the ancestor of the *Melanopsis/Fagotia* clade inhabited its north-eastern part.
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